

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,811	11/03/2003	David C. Andreas	75622P001702	9980
22503 7	590 11/30/2004		EXAM	INER
DAVIS & AS	SSOCIATES		VU, TRI	SHA U
P.O. BOX 109	3 RINGS, TX 78620		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DKII I INO DI	Kirtos, 17 70020		2112	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	OF		
Office Action Summary		10/6	599,811	ANDREAS, DAVID	C.		
		Exa	miner	Art Unit			
			na Vu	2112			
The M. Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commun	nication appears (on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	9SS		
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MOI - If the period for ribonium and the series of the series of the series and the series are series are series and the series are series are series and the series are seri	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F B DATE OF THIS COMMUN ne may be available under the provisions NTHS from the mailing date of this come eply specified above is less than thirty (i reply is specified above, the maximum so vithin the set or extended period for reply and by the Office later than three months trm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause	n no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of th y and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status							
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) fil	ed on 03 Novem	ber 2003.				
•	s action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since th	nis application is in conditior	n for allowance ex	xcept for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the n	nerits is		
closed i	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of C	laims						
4a) Of th 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☑ Claim(s 7) ☑ Claim(s	b) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the ne above claim(s) is/a; c) is/are allowed. d) <u>1,2,6,7,11,12,16 and 17</u> is g) <u>3-5,8-10,13-15 and 18-20</u> d) are subject to restricts	are withdrawn fro s/are rejected. is/are objected to	0.				
Application Pap	ers						
10)⊠ The dra Applicar Replace	nt may not request that any objorment drawing sheet(s) including	er 2003 is/are: a ection to the drawing the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeya required if the drawin	objected to by the Examirance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR ed Office Action or form PTC	R 1.121(d).		
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknow a) All 1. C 2. C 3. C	ledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority	y documents hav y documents hav s of the priority do lonal Bureau (PC	re been received. re been received in ocuments have bee cT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	itage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-03-03. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11-03-03 is being considered by the examiner.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 1, 6, 11, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 3, 6, 8, and 11 respectively of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,816,933. This is a double patenting rejection.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/699,811 Page 3

Art Unit: 2112

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 2, 7, 12, and 17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2, 5, and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,816,933 in view of Eriksson et al. (6,148,076) (hereinafter Eriksson).

The claims are related to one another as follows:

Application	Patent
2	2
7	5
12	5
17	13

With respect to those claims, the only difference is that the patent claims do not explicitly disclose the serial devices being subscriber line interface circuits (SLICs). Eriksson teaches SLICs (at least col. 1, lines 12-38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement SLICs a taught by Eriksson in the system of Patent No. 6,816,933 to provide integrated telephone line circuit in modern telephone systems which replaces a line transformer used in earlier systems (col. 1, lines 13-18).

Application/Control Number: 10/699,811 Page 4

Art Unit: 2112

5. Claims 3-5, 8-10, 13-15, 19-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, as the art discloses serially programmable devices:

US Patent 5,319,598 Aralis et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trisha Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3643. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur and alternate Fri 8:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on 571-272-3632. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trisha Vu

Examiner

Art Unit 2112

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ

PRIMARY EXAMINER